## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

## **NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES**

FILED

2008 JUN 20 AM 8: 36

TO: U FROM: F	BY
CASE TITI	
	NT ENTITLED: First Amended Petition
Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:	
✓ Local R	Pule Discrepancy
5.1	Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation
5.3	Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper
<b>5.4</b>	Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.
7.1 or	Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely
7.1 or	Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document
7.1 or	Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions
7.1	Missing table of contents
15.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself
30.1	Depositions not accepted absent a court order
	Supplemental documents require court order
	Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest
X	OTHER: Late per order dated 4/18/2008 (Docket #4).
IT <b>I</b> S HERI	Date forwarded: 6/19/2008 ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE EBY ORDERED:
The o	locument is to be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.
The document is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a copy of this order on all parties.	
Rejected document to be returned to pro se or inmate?  Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers	
	usel is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant LARRY ALAN BURNS  CHAMBERS OF J.S. DISTRICT JUDGE
Date cc: A	